

Childs, John

PORSF
11.3.31.5.1

From: Quinn, Padraic (Pad)
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2000 11:25 AM
To: Degens, Sebastian; Childs, John
Cc: Moulton, Robert; Ring, Jeffery (Jeff); Hermans, Marcel
Subject: RE: Draft Hart Crowser Addendum

I agree with Seb's comments though I think it is somewhat addressed on the first page in the introduction section. Further clarification as noted in Seb's comments below are probably a good idea.

Otherwise it looks good. I talked to Judy and she said that if she got a fax or email of this addendum later today we could send it directly to NMFS and to USFW ourselves as long as we let her know that we have done this.

—Original Message—

From: Degens, Sebastian
Sent: Wednesday, December 13, 2000 8:21 AM
To: Childs, John
Cc: Quinn, Padraic (Pad); Moulton, Robert; Ring, Jeffery (Jeff); Hermans, Marcel
Subject: Draft Hart Crowser Addendum

I reviewed the addendum last night. I was not clear if the 42-43 foot composite was only analyzed for organotins, or for all compounds. If it was not analyzed for other compounds, the rationale needs to be explained, such as that the other data from 1999 was still current and met the recency guidelines of the LCRMA, that we were specifically requested just to fill in the data gap, that EPA felt that a composite of three samples was appropriate to fill in the gap, etc. Otherwise we might face criticism for arbitrary sampling and data results.

As to the lower archived samples, what is the holding time on those for chemical analysis?

